Is Glyphosate Use Responsible for Global Decline in Amphibians?
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Land and water managers who apply herbicides to control invasive plant species and other nuisance vegetation strive to minimize environmental impacts as a matter of policy and daily operations. It is, therefore, not surprising that concern has been expressed and many questions asked relative to recent publications by Relyea (2004, 2005a, 2005b), which implicate use of glyphosate-containing herbicides in the global decline of amphibians. The purpose of this article is to put these recent publications in perspective relative to aquatic and terrestrial natural area weed management, and to explain why land managers should continue to use glyphosate-containing products to protect managed habitats from weeds without concern for unreasonable adverse environmental impacts.

Relyea (2005a) conducted tank studies to test the toxicity of a glyphosate-containing herbicide on amphibian species. Roundup Weed and Grass Killer, which contains 25.2% glyphosate (2.5 lb glyphosate a.i. (active ingredient) per gallon) with an unknown amount of polyethoxylated tallowamine (POEA) surfactant, was applied to water in test tanks. This product is not registered for application to aquatic sites. Tests were conducted for 16 days with solution (water and initial herbicide concentration) renewal every four days. Relyea (2005a) predicted from his data that application of product equivalent to 3.7 ppm a.i. glyphosate would kill 90% to 100% of tadpoles of all six amphibian species tested. This concentration represents the maximum that would occur when Roundup is broadcast at the highest label rate to water 15 cm (5.4 in) deep with no intercepting vegetation (an unlikely scenario). In another study (Relyea 2005b), the maximum rate of glyphosate-containing product was applied to three species of juvenile terrestrial amphibians to simulate a direct application to an agricultural field with no intercepting vegetation. Across all species, only 21% of the glyphosate-treated amphibians survived after one day. Relyea (2005a) concluded that “Roundup with the POEA surfactant has the potential to play a major role in amphibian declines.”

Data presented in Table 1 shows the wide range of toxicities to forms of glyphosate and glyphosate-containing products. Roundup is 10 to 100 times more toxic to indicator species than either the parent glyphosate acid or Rodeo, which contains the isopropylamine salt of glyphosate. POEA, the surfactant contained in the original Roundup, was the most toxic surfactant of 19 tested on bluegill sunfish (Haller and Stocker 2003). Several studies have reported toxicity levels of glyphosate products to amphibian species (Edgington et al. 2004, Howe 2004, Man and Bidwell 1999, Wojtaszek et al. 2004, Perkins et al. 2000). Toxicity of Roundup to aquatic organisms because of the POEA surfactant was known by Monsanto when Roundup was originally labeled in 1978 and data was provided to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This is why the formulation was not registered for aquatic uses; nor are glyphosate-containing products with POEA now registered for aquatic use. Most glyphosate-containing products that are registered for aquatic use are manufactured without surfactant (Touchdown Pro, the exception, contains a different surfactant) so that the applicator can use one of the many commercial surfactant products available that have low toxicity to aquatic organisms and instructions for aquatic applications. While the contents of commercial surfactants is proprietary information, they are regulated and only contain ingredients that are approved by EPA or the Food and Drug Administration.

When applying glyphosate-containing herbicides that do not contain POEA, Relyea’s studies are not applicable. When applying glyphosate-containing products that contain no surfactant and are registered for application to vegetation growing in water, addition of only those surfactants with aquatic use directions should be used. This will prevent unreasonable adverse impacts to aquatic organisms.

Assumptions of Relyea’s experimental design exaggerate the potential impact of glyphosate-containing products relative to application for control of invasive plants in upland natural areas and in wetlands. Because glyphosate-containing products that are labeled only for terrestrial application are not applied to aquatic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Glyphosate acid</th>
<th>Touchdown Pro</th>
<th>Rodeo</th>
<th>Roundup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daphnia 48 hr LC50</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>5.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill sunfish 96 hr LC50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&gt;180</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout 96 hr LC50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>8.226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This information is available on the UF/IFAS Electronic Data Information Sources (EDIS) as “Safe Use of Glyphosate-Containing Products in Aquatic and Upland Natural Areas” (http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/AG/AG24800.pdf).
sites, concern for exposure to aquatic organisms is an issue of drift or contact with temporary pockets of water. Concentration much lower than that resulting from maximum application rates are expected. When spot treatments of herbicide using hand-held equipment are made, the applicator has direct control of where the spray solution is applied and little to no herbicide contacts standing water. Likewise, direct application of spray solution to amphibians is unlikely. Broadcast application of glyphosate-containing products with POEA are rarely made to upland natural areas because of potential damage to non-target plant species, and if broadcast applications are made, vegetation is present that intercepts a majority of the spray solution. Exposure of test organisms for 16 days with solution renewal every four days is not consistent with realistic exposure under vegetation management practices. Under field conditions, active ingredients and adjuvants are broken down or sequestered through natural processes. Renewal of test solution every four days is equivalent to four separate applications. Field studies to assess impacts under representative natural conditions, and monitoring studies conducted under conditions relevant to product use indicate that glyphosate herbicides registered for terrestrial application are not likely to result in adverse effects to amphibians when used according to label directions (Wojtaszek et al. 2004, Thompson et al. 2004).

When applying glyphosate-containing products containing POEA to upland natural areas, there is a wide margin of safety to amphibians and other wildlife relative to toxic levels and realistic potential exposure levels. Applicators who apply glyphosate-containing products according to instructions on the herbicide label and on the surfactant label will have an acceptable margin of safety to wildlife. Land managers should continue to use glyphosate-containing products to protect managed habitats from weeds without concern for unreasonable adverse environmental impacts, as originally determined by regulatory agencies when these products were considered for registration. There is no data that suggests the use of glyphosate containing herbicide products is responsible for global declines in amphibian populations.
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